Case 2:06-cv-01079-RLH-GWF Document 10 Filed 11/03/06 Page 1 of 2

1 responsive pleading, and therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiff retains the right to amend his pleading as "a matter of course" and no leave of the court is necessary. Nonetheless, because 2 Plaintiff has requested leave of the court, Plaintiff's Motion to Amend is granted. 3 Because Plaintiff's amended Complaint supersedes the original complaint in its 4 entirety, See London v. Coopers & Lybrand, 644 F.2d 811 (9th Cir. 1981), Defendant's Motion to 5 Dismiss Plaintiff's original complaint is moot. 6 7 **CONCLUSION** 8 Accordingly, and for good cause appearing, 9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (#4) is DENIED 10 without prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Complaint (#8) is 11 12 GRANTED. 13 The Plaintiff is hereby directed to file and serve his First Amended Complaint. 14 15 Dated: November 2, 2006. 16 17 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26